Board of Assessment Members Present: Chair Jeffrey Ginzberg Esq., Lin Yang, Andrew Schaffer, Esq.

• Chair Ginzberg called the meeting to order at 6 pm.

• The following scheduled Hearings took place. The Board did not deliberate.

• For all appellants the Board introduced themselves, and appellants were sworn in. Jeffrey Ginzberg Esq. administered oath.

Appellant: 382 Amity LLC
Paula Esposito (commercial property owner)
Property Address: 382 Amity Road
Woodbridge, CT 06525
Appeal Reason: Appellant stated that she mailed her 2022 Income & Expense Report and she does not feel her assessment should increase by over $25,000 because the report was not received by the Assessor’s office. It was explained that the increase was not an actual property assessment increase but rather a 10% penalty imposed for not filing as per State Statute. (Actual penalty imposed was $25,445.)

Appellant: Nicolina, LLC
Maria Colagiovanni (business owner)
Personal Property: Hair Salon Equipment
Appeal Reason: The appellant filed the 2022 Personal Property Declaration Form. However, she did not detail on the form the equipment that she discarded when she closed her salon on Lucy St. and moved to a new space where she rents a chair at Hairs2You on Selden St. She submitted the list of discarded items to the Board on the date of her appeal.

Appellant: GI Johns, LLC
Mark Chisto (business owner)
Personal Property: Portable Toilets
Appeal Reason: No show.
Appellant: Tim B. Hale  
Personal Property: 2017 Honda HR-V  
Appeal Reason: High mileage. A picture of the odometer with 113,973 miles was shown to the Board. The transmission was replaced at no charge by the dealership.

Appellant: Sterling Adams  
Personal Property: 2015 GMC Sierra 3500 HD Denali Crew Cab 4WD Diesel  
Appeal Reason: High mileage and body condition. The vehicle has 323,000. It was noted that there were several dings. (Picture of vehicle was not submitted.)

Appellant: Amity Chiropractic & Rehabilitation Center, LLC  
Michael Cocco (business owner)  
Personal Property: Office Equipment  
Appeal Reason: The appellant feels the personal property assessment is too high based on his reduction of personal property resulting from a move to a much smaller offer space (1700 sq. ft. practice to a 620 sq. ft. space that he shares). The variance between the filed 2022 Declaration Form (list of existing assets plus discarded assets) did not tie back exactly to his 2021 Declaration Form because he explained that he did not think to compile a comprehensive inventory of everything that was discarded when he downsized his practice. The discarded assets in his 2022 Declaration Form was based on his recollection of what had been discarded. He noted that much of the equipment was thrown out because it was outdated, and some items were given away.

Appellant: Vivianna Livesay  
Personal Property: 1990 Lexus LS 400  
Appeal Reason: Classic vehicle. A signed Antique, Rare of Special Interest Affidavit was filed with the Assessors office, however, it was noted that it was filed after the deadline.

Appellant: Michael Broderick  
Property Address: 9 Dillon Road Woodbridge, CT 06525  
Appeal Reason: Property condition. The appellant purchased the foreclosed property and detailed its condition. Aside from trash throughout the house, the dwelling did not have working heat, central air, or water. There were no functional toilets or kitchen, and plaster was hanging from the walls. The lawn was also cluttered with trash and appliances. The appellant confirmed that at the time of the purchase he was aware of the tax assessment, and that the property was purchased at a foreclosure sale with the original owner occupying the property. He purchased the property with the intent of restoring it.

Respectfully submitted: Toni Belenski